

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/775,109	01/31/2001	Susan M. Janz	10003904-1	6315	
7590 01/08/2004				EXAMINER	
	ACKARD COMPANY	KINDRED, ALFORD W			
Intellectual Prop	perty Administration	<u> </u>			
P.O. Box 27240	. •	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			2172	<i>f</i> .	
			DATE MAILED: 01/08/2004	, 6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

J -	ψ-	_		!
Advisory Action		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/775,109	JANZ ET AL.	
	,,	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Alford W. Kindred	2172	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addi	ess
There final re condit	REPLY FILED 23 December 2003 FAILS TO PLAC fore, further action by the applicant is required to ave ejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) ion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal ination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this a) a timely filed amendment	pplication. A proper reply which places the applicat	to a . tion in
_		EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
fee hav fee und (2) as s		Advisory Action, or (2) the date set later than SIX MONTHS from the S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS date on which the petition under of extension and the corresponding the shortened statutory period for ce later than three months after the	mailing date of the final rejection OF THE FINAL REJECTION. 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the approan amount of the fee. The appropriately set in the final C	on. See MPEP priate extension priate extension Office action: or
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			
2.	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) 🔲 they raise new issues that would require furthe	er consideration and/or sea	arch (see NOTE below);	•
(b) 🔲 they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(с	 they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or 	n better form for appeal by	materially reducing or sim	nplifying the
(d) \square they present additional claims without cancelli	ing a corresponding numbe	er of finally rejected claims	3.
	NOTE:			
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in	n a separate, timely filed a	amendment
5.🔯	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		considered but does NOT	place the
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLI	ELY to issues which were	newly
7.🛛	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo			nd an
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
	Claim(s) allowed:			
	Claim(s) objected to:			
	Claim(s) rejected: 1-20.			
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8.	The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	roved or b) disapproved	d by the Examiner.	Λ
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen Other:	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No	ALFORD KINDS PRIMARY EXAM	
			FUIMANT EXAM	II That T

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: regarding applicant's arguments "McCurdy does not disclose a recorded unique device identification . . .", examiner maintains that McCurdy's access to system 50, requires the identifying of the device in a manner illustrated in applicant's claim language and therefore the arguments are not persuasive.